

REMARKS

This application, as amended herein, contains claims 7, 9, 11, 12, 19, and newly added claims 20 and 21. Claims 1 - 6, 8, 10 and 13 - 18 have been canceled.

Claims 1 - 5 and 7 - 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vorobiev. Claims 18 and 19 were rejected as obvious over Vorobiev in view of the holding of In re Venner.

Applicants' invention, as set forth in new independent claim 20, is directed to the structure of Fig. 2, including several of the components thereof. Support for this amendment may be found in the specification at least at page 5, line 9 to page 6, line 15.

Vorobiev does not teach or suggest the specific combination of features set forth in claim 20. In fact the portals described in Vorobiev have a much simpler structure and do not perform the functions set forth for the various elements of claim 20. Specifically, Vorobiev does not teach or suggest a publish service for receiving information from the content provider concerning the new content which the content provider can provide for publication by the portal; a portal content queue for storing the information; a portal content catalogue for storing accepted new content; a portal configuration in which entire available content of the portal is assembled; a portal user configuration storage for storing preferences of a user and for providing a notification to a user if new

content matches preferences of the user; and a portal aggregation for assembling content to be sent to the user in response to a user request to the portal for information from a content provider. Thus, examination of new claim 20 is respectfully requested.

The remaining original claims depend from independent claim 20. These claims recite further elements, which in combination with the elements of claim 20, are not shown or suggested in the art of record. For the reasons set forth above with respect to claim 20 it is submitted that the remaining claims are also directed to patentable subject matter.

Claims 19 recites automatically registering at least one content provider. This further distinguishes Applicants' invention from the prior art.

In view of the allowable nature of the subject matter of all of the claims, if the Examiner cannot issue an immediate allowance, it is respectfully requested that he contact the undersigned to resolve any remaining issues.

Applicants respectfully request an extension of time of three months for the filing of this paper. A check in the amount of \$1,020 is enclosed to cover the required fee.

Respectfully submitted,

David Aker
David Aker, Reg. No. 29,277
23 Southern Road
Hartsdale, NY 10530
Tel. & Fax 914 674-1094

11/30/2006
Date